

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,736	10/11/2000	Andrew Peter Bradley	169.1867	3845	
5514	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			AHMED, SAMIR ANWAR		
	ELLER PLAZA L. NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2623	10	
•			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	, / 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/685,7	36	BRADLEY ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Samir A.		2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN insions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comic is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) increase period for reply is specified above, the maximum is tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and v	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3 vill expire SIX (6) MONTH olication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>25 May 2004</i> .					
2a)⊠		2b) ☐ This action is r	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-20,22-28,30-39,4</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,7-9,15,16,19,20,26-28,3</u> Claim(s) <u>3-6,11-14,17,18,22-25,30-</u> Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from co 4,35,38,39,45-47,53, 33,36,37,41-44,49-52	onsideration. 5 <u>4 and 57</u> is/are re 2,55 and 56 is/are	ejected.			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	-					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have been documents have been to find priority documents have been documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National	l Stage		
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			rmal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Art Unit: 2623

1. The amendment filed 5/25/04 have been entered and made of record.

- 2. In response to the amendment filed 5/25/04, the 112, first paragraph to claims 6, 14, 25, 33, 44, 52 is withdrawn.
- 3. In response to the amendment filed 5/25/04, the 112, second paragraph to claims 19, 38, 57 is withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/25/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regard to claims 1, 9, 20, 28, 39 and 47 for the following reasons:
- 5. As to claims 1, the Applicant alleges, "Claim 1 is clamping the output value of the discrete sample value [,]" (page 17, line 12-page 18, line 2). The Examiner disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features described at page 29, lines 5-23, of the specification) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant alleges, "that is, Sekine et al. discusses the same resolution process is applied to each color signal [,]" (page 19, lines 4-17). The Examiner disagrees. As shown in Figs 21a-c (col. 9, lines 1-7) different interpolation (bilinear or nearest neighbor) is used for different colors, i.e. different resolution process and not the same resolution conversion process.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 7-8, 20, 26-27, 39, 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sekine et al. (U.S. Patent 5,754,710). The grounds for rejections stated in paragraph 7 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein.

As to claim 1, refer to claim 2 rejection stated in paragraph 7 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein As to claims 7-8, 20, 26-27, 39, 45-46, refer to claim 7-8, 20, 26-27, 39, 45-46 rejections stated in paragraph 7 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2623

9. Claims 9, 15-16, 19, 28, 34-35, 38, 47, 53-54, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Sekine et al. (U.S. Patent 5,754,710) and Walowite et al. (U.S. Patent 5,131,057). The grounds for rejections stated in paragraph 9 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein.

As to claim 9, refer to claim 10 rejection stated in paragraph 9 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein As to claims 15-16, 19, 28, 34-35, 38, 47, 53-54, 57, refer to claim 15-16, 19, 28, 34-35, 38, 47, 53-54, 57 rejections stated in paragraph 9 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 3-6, 11-14, 17, 18, 22-25,30-33, 36-37, 41-44, 49-52, 55, 56 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Objections stated in paragraph 10 of the Office Action mailed on 2/25/04 paper number 8, are incorporated by reference herein.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 2623

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samir A. Ahmed whose telephone number is 703-305-9870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703-308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2623

SA

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER